Hello, Whittier!



Working for Alaska Business

Curtis W. Thayer President and CEO

Chamber profile

Why we exist



Our history

Formed in 1953 before Alaska was a state for the purpose of promoting commerce in Alaska.

Our vision

To be the leading voice advancing Alaska Business.


Our mission

To promote a healthy business environment in Alaska.

Our membership

700+ member companies with 100,000+ employees and 30+ Local Alaska Chambers

Our leadership



Board of Directors

Governed by a volunteer board of directors, the Chamber is a private organization funded primarily by membership dues. It is not a government agency and receives no tax dollars.

3

Committees

The Chamber maintains the following committees to help achieve the its mission.

- Executive Committee
- Finance Committee
- Legislative Affairs Committee
- Events Committee

Business advocacy

We work for you



Pro-Business



Alaska Business Report Card



Alaska Business
Political Action
Committee

Legislative advocacy

We follow state and federal legislation important to our members



- The Alaska Chamber stands behind strong,
 pro-business legislation and advocates for issues that affect you.
- Whether addressing policy-making or simply helping to grow your company, the Alaska Chamber is protecting your interests every day.
- We ensure the Voice of Alaska Business is heard in Juneau and Washington D.C.

Our priorities for 2018

Issues affecting Alaska's business climate

State Priorities

- Support reduction of spending to sustainable levels
- Support comprehensive workers' compensation reform

Federal Priorities

 Support oil and gas exploration and development in Alaska's federal areas including; the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), National Petroleum Reserve-Alaska (NPRA), Cook Inlet, and the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR)

6

 Support repeal or reform of the Federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

Workers' Compensation


Improving the system



- Alaska Chamber's effort to improve the system: improve efficiencies, improve and reduce medical costs, revise the legal process, and revamp the reemployment benefits system
- Got the bill to the Senate Finance Committee
- Pursued inclusion of our must-haves into another vehicle
- Next steps: A legislative working group was formed to work on the issue this interim

Stand for Alaska

We are a broad coalition of 250+ Alaskan businesses, trade organizations, groups, and Alaska Native corporations that **oppose a ballot measure** that would overhaul regulations affecting virtually any type of project in Alaska




What's the problem?

- Alaska boasts a robust, science-driven
 permitting system regulated by state and federal
 acts added or updated over the years
- The proposed ballot initiative, however, seeks to overhaul regulations, affecting virtually any type of project in Alaska and jeopardizing our jobs, communities and the Alaska way of life
- Stand for Alaska believes the initiative will have serious consequences for Alaska and Alaskans



What would happen with passage?

- Rural & Community Projects
- Alaskan Jobs
- Private Property
- Resource Development
- Alaska Native Corporations
- State Budget & Permanent Fund







Amak Towing Americans for Prosperity-,

Amerikanuak, Alaska, LLC Anchorage Republican Women's Cl

ANCSA Regional Association

Arctic Catering & Support Se Arctic Consulting LLC

Arctic Controls /

Arktis, LLC.

Aspen Hotels of Alaska Associated General Contractors of Alaska

ASRC Energy Services

Audio Video Installation and Design (AVID)

Beacon Occupational Health

ore Geoscience

Cruise Line Agencies of Alaska

Cruz Companies Data Center: LLC

Davis Block and Concrete

Deadhorse Aviation Center, LLC

Delta Constructors

Delta Leasing

Denail Asphalt Denail Drilling

Denali Industrial Supply

Dittman Research

Greater Fairbanks Chamber of Commerce

Halliburton

Hawley Resource Group Inc.

Hecla Greens Creak Mining Co.

Hilcorp, Alaska LLC Hutchings Trucks

Industrial Hoists, LLC Interior Alaska Roofing

Jade North, LLC

Judy Patrick Photography Juneau 1, LLC

K.Jardell Company Kallander & Associates

Kenai Peninsula Republican Women

Kenai River Raven Lodge

NW Consulting

Oxford Assaying and Refining

Pan-American Industrial Commercial Enterprise

Pebble Partnership

Petroleum Equipment & Services, Inc.

Petro Star

Petrotechnical Resources of Alaska

Pipefitters Local 375

Price Gregory International

PRL Logistics

RA Kreig & Associates

Regive Air Alaska

Resource Development Council for Alaska, Inc.

11


Rockwell Automation



www.StandForAK.com




Alaska Public Opinion Survey




Generally speaking, how would you rate Alaska's current economy?












Direction of State

Thinking about Alaska right now, do you think things are headed in the right direction, or do you think things have gotten off on the wrong track?









Industry Favorability

I'm going to read the names of some sectors in Alaska's economy. Please tell me whether you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of each.





Make cuts to state spending.



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	73%	26%
Southcentral	81%	16%
Interior	63%	33%
Southeast	62%	34%
Rural	68%	32%
A 0.777-1707	Commence of the Commence of th	
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	67%	32%
1000	67% 75%	32% 23%
18-34 yrs old		


Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	74%	23%
Female	69%	29%
Party		
Democrat	45%	52%
Republican	86%	13%
Non-Partisan	73%	26%
Undeclared	70%	27%
Union		
Public employee	55%	42%
Other union	77%	21%
Non-union	75%	23%

Ideology	Support	Oppose
Very conserv.	91%	7%
Smwht conserv.	87%	11%
Moderate	68%	30%
Smwht liberal	42%	54%
Very liberal	39%	61%
Household inco <\$40K	65%	30%
- P 101	03/0	21170
\$40-60K	73%	26%
	73% 67%	
\$40-60K \$60-80K \$80-100K		26%





Putting in place a spending cap on state spending. The cap would be adjusted for inflation from year-to-year.



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	75%	21%
Southcentral	89%	9%
Interior	77%	21%
Southeast	71%	25%
Rural	83%	17%
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	82%	15%
	82% 78%	15% 20%
18-34 yrs old		

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	79%	19%
Female	77%	19%
Party		
Democrat	54%	(39%)
Republican	90%	8%
Non-Partisan	77%	21%
Undeclared	79%	17%
Union		
Public employee	68%	30%
Other union	84%	13%
Non-union	80%	17%

Ideology	Support	Oppose
Very conserv.	89%	8%
Smwht conserv.	90%	9%
Moderate	76%	19%
Smwht liberal	58%	36%
Very liberal	51%	43%


Household inc	ome	
<\$40K	79%	17%
\$40-60K	83%	13%
\$60-80K	81%	15%
\$80-100K	82%	18%
\$100K +	77%	23%





Medicaid Work Requirements

Some states are considering adding work requirements for some people who receive health insurance through Medicaid, the government health insurance program for low-income individuals. Do you support or oppose requiring low-income, able-bodied adults without young children, to work in order to receive Medicaid benefits?



Total Support	Total Oppose
76%	21%
81%	16%
83%	13%
66%	30%
72%	26%
80%	18%
74%	22%
	76% 81% 83% 66% 72%

	iotai	iotai
Party	Support	Oppose
Democrat	53%	43%
Republican	90%	8%
Non-Partisan	70%	27%
Undeclared	78%	18%

Ideology		
Very conservative	93% 🛕	5%
Smwht conservative	90%	8%
Moderate	75%	23%
Somewhat liberal	63%	33%
Very liberal	27%	65%
		180 Today


Age		
18-34 yrs old	79%	17%
35-44 yrs old	76%	22%
45-59 yrs old	77%	20%
60+ yrs old	76%	20%



he Voice of Alaska Business

Increasing the tax on alcohol and tobacco products.

16% total change since 2017



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	68%	31%
Southcentral	56%	44%
Interior	75%	24%
Southeast	67%	31%
Rural	72%	28%
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	76%▲	24%
	76% 68%	24% 32%
18-34 yrs old		

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	> 59%	40%
Female	75%	25%
Party		5
Democrat	77%	22%
		2001
Republican	64%	36%
Republican Non-Partisan	64%	36% 31%

Education	Support	Oppose
High school/G	ED 59%	40%
Some college	66%	34%
College gradua	ate 66%	34%
Graduate scho	ol (75%)	25%
Household inc	ome	
100 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00	ome 71%	28%
<\$40K	The second second	28% 34%
<\$40K \$40-60K	71%	
Household inc <\$40K \$40-60K \$60-80K \$80-100K	71% 66%	34%





Introducing a state sales tax.

10% total change since 2017



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	51%←	→ 48%
Southcentral	49%←	→ 51%
Interior	48%←	→ 51%
Southeast	43%	54%
Rural	35%	65%
Age		
	45%	55%
Age 18-34 yrs old 35-44 yrs old	45% 54%	55% 45%
18-34 yrs old	-	

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	46%	53%
Female	49%◀	→ 50%
Party	and the latest and th	
Democrat	64%	36%
Republican	40%	59%
Non-Partisan	49% ←	→ 50%
Undeclared	48% ←	→ 51%
Union		
Public employee	57%	40%
Other union	41%	59%
Non-union	47%	52%

Education	Support	Oppose
High school/GED	35%	63%
Some college	45%	55%
College graduate	51%←	→ 48%
Graduate school	58%	41%

Household inc	ome	
<\$40K	46%	53%
\$40-60K	40%	59%
\$60-80K	44%	56%
\$80-100K	53%	46%
\$100K +	53%	47%






Use of some Permanent Fund earnings while guaranteeing a dividend equal to the historical average of \$1,200.

9% total change since 2017

Oppose

21



Anchorage	48% ←	→ 51%
Southcentral	32%	64%
Interior	33%	64%
Southeast	56%	42%
Rural	44%	56%
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	38%	58%
	38% 43%	58% 56%
18-34 yrs old		

Support

Onnose

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	42%	56%
Female	44%	54%
Party		
Democrat	61%	37%
Republican	35%	64%
Non-Partisan	48% ←	→ 51%
Undeclared	41%	57%
Union		
Public employee	53%	47%
Other union	35%	63%
Non-union	41%	56%

riigir scriool/ GLD	23/0	10/0
Some college	33%	66%
College graduate	46%	52%
Graduate school	61%	38%
Household incon	ne	
<\$40K	40%	57%
\$40-60K	33%	62%
\$60-80K	41%	59%
\$80-100K	53%	46%
\$100K +	46%	52%

Support





Location

Education

High school/GED

Increasing the gasoline tax, excluding home heating fuel.

19% total change since 2017



Support	Oppose
41%	56%
33%	64%
30%	67%
43%	55%
39%	60%
270/	The second second
37%	59%
35%	59% 63%
	33% 30% 43% 39%


Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	37%	62%
Female	38%	58%
Party		
Democrat	53%	44%
Republican	26%	72%
Non-Partisan	44%	56%
Undeclared	39%	58%
Union		
Public employee	49% ←	→ 50%
Other union	32%	68%
Non-union	37%	61%

<\$40K	34%	63%
\$40-60K	35%	64%
\$60-80K	36%	63%
\$80-100K	43%	55%
\$100K +	43%	55%
Education		
	28%	69%
High school/GED		69%
Education High school/GED Some college College graduate	34%	69% 65% 60%





A 1.5% payroll tax that would expire in 3 years unless extended by the Governor and Legislature.



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	36%	61%
Southcentral	29%	62%
Interior	40%	55%
Southeast	39%	56%
Rural	37%	61%
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	41%	54%
	41% 36%	54% 60%
18-34 yrs old		

he Voice of Alaska Business

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	37%	60%
Female	33%	60%
Party		
Democrat	53%	41%
Republican	23%	71%
Non-Partisan	40%	59%
Undeclared	37%	56%
Union		
Public employe	e 42%	53%
Other union	26%	71%
Non-union	35%	60%

Employment	Support	Oppose
Full-time	33%	63%
Part-time	41%	55%
Homemaker	31%	51%
Student	46%	54%
Unemployed	22%	71%
Retired	42%	50%
Household in	come	
<\$40K	39%	56%
\$40-60K	35%	54%
\$60-80K	35%	58%
\$80-100K	34%	61%
\$100K +	35%	62%






23

Introducing a state personal income tax.

12% total change since 2017



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	35%	63%
Southcentral	29%	69%
Interior	36%	61%
Southeast	41%	55%
Rural	37%	63%

Age 18-34 yrs old	32%	64%
35-44 yrs old	32%	66%
45-59 yrs old	32%	67%
60+ yrs old	40%	57%

The Voice of Alaska Business

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	33%	66%
Female	37%	60%
Party		
Democrat	65%	33%
Republican	20%	78%
Non-Partisan	36%	62%
Undeclared	36%	62%
Union		
Public employe	e (44%)	54%
Other union	33%	68%
Non-union	33%	64%

Employment	Support	Oppose
Full-time	32%	66%
Part-time	38%	62%
Homemaker	28%	68%
Student	45%	55%
Unemployed	35%	61%
Retired	44%)	54%


Household Income			
33%	60%		
31%	68%		
35%	63%		
34%	66%		
36%	62%		
	33% 31% 35% 34%		





Natural Gas Pipeline


Please tell me which of the following two statements comes closest to your view regarding a potential natural gas pipeline from the North Slope: Alaska is closer than ever to getting a natural gas pipeline built –or – A natural gas pipeline will not likely be built in the foreseeable future



(/ DITTMAN

ANWR Exploration & Production

Do you support or oppose exploration and production of oil and gas in a portion of ANWR, that is the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge?





ANWR Exploration & Production, cont'd

Do you support or oppose exploration and production of oil and gas in a portion of ANWR, that is the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge?

	Support	Oppose
Overall	68%	32%
Location		
Anchorage	65%	34%
Southcentral	78%	22%
Interior	71%	29%
Southeast	58%	40%
Rural	57%	43%
Age		
18-34 yrs old	58%	▲ 41%
35-44 yrs old	66%	33%
45-59 yrs old	70%	29%
60+ yrs old	71%	28%
Party		
Democrat	27%	72%
Republican	90%	10%
Non-Partisan	64%	36%
Undeclared	66%	33%
Union		
Public employee	56%	43%
Other union	81%	17%
Non-union	69%	29%

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	80%	20%
Female	55%	44%
Time in Alaska		
0-9 yrs	48%	51%
10-20 yrs	62%	38%
20+ yrs	▼ 71%	28%
Education		
High school/GED	71%	26%
Some college	74%	26%
College graduate	70%	29%
Graduate school	52%	46%
Household income)	
<\$40K	59%	39%
\$40-60K	67%	31%
\$60-80K	62%	37%
\$80-100K	71%	29%
\$100K +	73%	26%



The Voice of Alaska Business

Alaska Arctic Offshore Exploration & Production

5% total change since 2017

Do you support or oppose exploration and production of oil and gas in Alaska's Arctic offshore?



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	67%	33%
Southcentral	76%	23%
Interior	70%	27%
Southeast	57%	40%
Rural	58%	42%
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	68%	30%
7700 C 100 C	68% 68%	30% 32%
18-34 yrs old		

The Voice of Alaska Business

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	78%	22%
Female	57%	42%
Party		
Democrat	30%	69%
Republican	87%	12%
Non-Partisan	60%	38%
Undeclared	68%	31%
Union		
Public employee	58%	41%
Other union	70%	29%
Non-union	70%	29%

Time in AK	Support	Oppose
0-9 yrs	60%	38%
10-20 yrs	64%	36%
20+ yrs	69%	30%
Follow local ne	ws	
Very closely	67%	31%
Smwht closely	68%	30%
Not closely	67%	33%
Ideology		
Very conserv.	91%	9%
Smwht conserv	. 87%	12%
Moderate	62%	37%
Smwht liberal	33%	66%
Very liberal	16%	83%





Alaska Business Week

A crash course in business



2018 Alaska Business Week

Get involved in the program! The 2018 program runs from July 14 to 21 at the Alaska Pacific University in Anchorage.

- Register your high school student
- Sponsor
- Mentor
- Volunteer

For more information contact Andy Rogers at (907) 278-2744 or andy@alaskabusinessweek.com

It's your Chamber

Get the most value out of membership







Get information and insight



Showcase your business

Thank you to our sponsors!

DENAL

















SILVER

















31

BRONZE















PARTNER













Get in touch

E-mail

You can contact me at cthayer@alaskachamber.com or call me directly at

(907) 278-2739

Our address

471 West 36 Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, Alaska 99503

On the web



AlaskaChamber.com

32



Facebook



Twitter



YouTube

