Hello, Alaska Municipal League!



Working for Alaska Business

Curtis W. Thayer President and CEO

Chamber profile

Why we exist



Our history

Formed in 1953 before Alaska was a state for the purpose of promoting commerce in Alaska.

Our vision

To be the leading voice advancing Alaska Business.

Our mission

To promote a healthy business environment in Alaska.

Our membership

700+ member companies with 100,000+ employees and 30+ Local Alaska Chambers

Business advocacy

We work for you



Pro-Business



Alaska Business Report Card



Alaska Business
Political Action
Committee

Legislative advocacy

We follow state and federal legislation important to our members



- The Alaska Chamber stands behind strong,
 pro-business legislation and advocates for issues that affect you.
- Whether addressing policy-making or simply helping to grow your company, the Alaska Chamber is protecting your interests every day.
- We ensure the Voice of Alaska Business is heard in Juneau and Washington D.C.

Our priorities for 2019

Issues affecting Alaska's business climate

State Priorities


- Support reduction of spending to sustainable levels
- Support comprehensive workers' compensation reform
- Support a meaningful cap on operating budget expenditures

he Voice of Alaska Business

Federal Priorities


- Support oil and gas exploration and development in Alaska's federal areas including; the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), National Petroleum Reserve-Alaska (NPRA), Cook Inlet, and the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR)
- Support reform of the Federal Patient
 Protection and Affordable Care Act

Alaska Public Opinion Survey



The Voice of Alaska Business

Alaska's Current Economy








Direction of State

Thinking about Alaska right now, do you think things are headed in the right direction, or do you think things have gotten off on the wrong track?








Industry Favorability


I'm going to read the names of some sectors in Alaska's economy. Please tell me whether you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of each.







Make cuts to state spending.



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	73%	26%
Southcentral	81%	16%
Interior	63%	33%
Southeast	62%	34%
Rural	68%	32%
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	67%	32%
	67% 75%	32% 23%
18-34 yrs old		2702

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	74%	23%
Female	69%	29%
Party		
Democrat	45%	52%
Republican	86%	13%
Non-Partisan	73%	26%
Undeclared	70%	27%
Union		
Public employee	55%	42%
Other union	77%	21%
Non-union	75%	23%

Ideology	Support	Oppose
Very conserv.	91%	7%
Smwht conserv.	87%	11%
Moderate	68%	30%
Smwht liberal	42%	54%
Very liberal	39%	61%
Household inco	me	
<\$40K	65%	30%
\$40-60K	73%	26%
\$60-80K	67%	0.704
	0770	27%

75%

25%


10





\$100K +

Putting in place a spending cap on state spending. The cap would be adjusted for inflation from year-to-year.



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	75%	21%
Southcentral	89%	9%
Interior	77%	21%
Southeast	71%	25%
Rural	83%	17%
nuidi	0070	1770
Age		
Age 18-34 yrs old	82% 78%	15% 20%
Age	82%	15%

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	79%	19%
Female	77%	19%
Party		
Democrat	54%	(39%)
Republican	90%	8%
Non-Partisan	77%	21%
Undeclared	79%	17%
Union		
Public employee	68%	30%
Other union	84%	13%
Non-union	80%	17%

Ideology	Support	Oppose
Very conserv.	89%	8%
Smwht conserv.	90%	9%
Moderate	76%	19%
Smwht liberal	58%	36%
Very liberal	51%	43%

83%

81%

82%

77%

13%

15%

18%

23%

11





\$40-60K


\$60-80K

\$100K +

\$80-100K

Medicaid Work Requirements

Some states are considering adding work requirements for some people who receive health insurance through Medicaid, the government health insurance program for low-income individuals. Do you support or oppose requiring low-income, able-bodied adults without young children, to work in order to receive Medicaid benefits?



Total Support	Total Oppose
76%	21%
81%	16%
83%	13%
66%	30%
72%	26%
80%	18%
74%	22%
	76% 81% 83% 66% 72%

	iotai	Iotai
Party	Support	Oppose
Democrat	53%	43%
Republican	90%	8%
Non-Partisan	70%	27%
Undeclared	78%	18%

Ideology	_	
Very conservative	93% 🛕	5%
Smwht conservative	90%	8%
Moderate	75%	23%
Somewhat liberal	63%	33%
Very liberal	27%	(65%)

Age		
18-34 yrs old	79%	17%
35-44 yrs old	76%	22%
45-59 yrs old	77%	20%
60+ yrs old	76%	20%



The Voice of Alaska Business





10% total change since 2017



Support	Oppose
51%←	→ 48%
49%←	→ 51%
48%←	→ 51%
43%	54%
35%	65%
45%	55%
45% 54%	55% 45%
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	Laboration Control
	51% ← 49% ← 48% ← 43%

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	46%	53%
Female	49% ←	→ 50%
Party		
Democrat	64%	36%
Republican	40%	59%
Non-Partisan	49% ←	→ 50%
Undeclared	48% ←	→ 51%
Union	And Control of the	
Public employee	(57%)	40%
Other union	41%	59%
Non-union	47%	52%

Education	Support	Oppose
High school/GED	35%	63%
Some college	45%	55%
College graduate	51%←	→ 48%
Graduate school	(58%)	41%

<\$40K	46%	53%
\$40-60K	40%	(59%)
\$60-80K	44%	56%
\$80-100K	53%	46%
\$100K +	53%	47%





Use of some Permanent Fund earnings while guaranteeing a dividend equal to the historical average of \$1,200.

9% total change since 2017

Onnoca

52%

14



Location		
Anchorage	48% ←	→ 51%
Southcentral	32%	64%
Interior	33%	64%
Southeast	56%	42%
Rural	44%	56%
Age		
	38% 43%	58% 56%
Age 18-34 yrs old	38%	58%

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	42%	56%
Female	44%	54%
Party		
Democrat	61%	37%
Republican	35%	64%
Non-Partisan	48% ←	→ 51%
Undeclared	41%	57%
Union		
Public employee	53%	47%
Other union	35%	63%
Non-union	41%	56%

Education	Support	Oppose
High school/G	ED 29%	70%
Some college	33%	66%
College gradu	ate 46%	52%
Graduate scho	ool (61%)	38%
Household in <\$40K	40%	57%
\$40-60K	33%	62%
\$60-80K	41%	59%
\$80-100K		3370

46%






Education

\$100K +

19% total Increasing the gasoline tax, excluding home heating fuel. change since 2017 -Support —Oppose 100% 2018 75% Strongly support 11% 60% 53% 51% 38% 50% Somewhat support 27% 46% 48% 38% 25% Somewhat oppose 21% 60% 2% 1% 1% Strongly oppose 39% 0% 2016 2017 2018 Unsure 2% Location Support Oppose Gender Support Oppose Household income Anchorage 41% 56% Male 37% 62% <\$40K 34% 63% Southcentral 33% 64% Female 38% 58% \$40-60K 64% 35% Interior 30% 67% \$60-80K 36% 63% Party 55% Southeast 43% \$80-100K 43% 55% 53% Democrat 44% Rural 39% 60% \$100K + 43% 55% 26% 72% Republican 56% Non-Partisan 44% Education Age Undeclared 58% 39% 18-34 yrs old 37% 59% 69% High school/GED 28% 35-44 yrs old 35% 63% Union Some college 34% 65% 45-59 yrs old 37% 62% Public employee 49% -> 50% College graduate 38% 60% 60+ yrs old 41% Other union 32% 68% 56% Graduate school (52%) 47% 37% Non-union 61%



A 1.5% payroll tax that would expire in 3 years unless extended by the Governor and Legislature.



Location	Support	Oppose
Anchorage	36%	61%
Southcentral	29%	62%
Interior	40%	55%
Southeast	39%	56%
Rural	37%	61%
Age	****	540/
18-34 yrs old	41%	54%
122 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2	41% 36%	54% 60%
18-34 yrs old	33.000	

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male	37%	60%
Female	33%	60%
Party		
Democrat	53%	41%
Republican	23%	71%
Non-Partisan	40%	59%
Undeclared	37%	56%
Union		
Public employe	e 42%	53%
Other union	26%	71%
Non-union	35%	60%

Employment	Support	Oppose
Full-time	33%	63%
Part-time	41%	55%
Homemaker	31%	51%
Student	46%	54%
Unemployed	22%	71%
Retired	42%	50%

Household income		
39%	56%	
35%	54%	
35%	58%	
34%	61%	
35%	62%	
	39% 35% 35% 34%	





Introducing a state personal income tax. 12% total change since 2017 2018 Support Oppose 100% 13% Strongly support 75% 35% 64% 58% 22% Somewhat support 50% 50% 49% Somewhat oppose 15% 41% 64% 35% 25% 49% Strongly oppose 1% 1% 1% 0% Unsure 1% 2016 2017 2018 Employment Support Location Support Oppose Gender Support Oppose Oppose Anchorage 35% 63% Male 33% 66% Full-time 32% 66% Southcentral 29% 69% 38% Female 37% 60% Part-time 62% Interior 36% 61% Homemaker 28% 68% Party Southeast 41% 55% Student 45% 55% 65% Democrat 33% 37% 63% Unemployed 35% 61% Rural 20% 78% Republican 44% Retired 54% 62% Non-Partisan 36% Age Undeclared 36% 62% 32% 64% Household income 18-34 yrs old 35-44 yrs old 32% 66% <\$40K 33% 60% Union 45-59 yrs old 32% 67% Public employee 44% 54% \$40-60K 31% 68%

33%

33%

68%

64%



60+ yrs old

35%

34%

36%

63%

66%

62%

\$60-80K

\$80-100K

\$100K +

17

40%


57%

Other union

Non-union


Natural Gas Pipeline

Please tell me which of the following two statements comes closest to your view regarding a potential natural gas pipeline from the North Slope: Alaska is closer than ever to getting a natural gas pipeline built –or – A natural gas pipeline will not likely be built in the foreseeable future



ANWR Exploration & Production

Do you support or oppose exploration and production of oil and gas in a portion of ANWR, that is the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge?





ANWR Exploration & Production, cont'd

Do you support or oppose exploration and production of oil and gas in a portion of ANWR, that is the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge?

	Support	Oppose
Overall	68%	32%
Location		
Anchorage	65%	34%
Southcentral	78%	22%
Interior	71%	29%
Southeast	58%	40%
Rural	57%	43%
Age		
18-34 yrs old	58%	▲ 41%
35-44 yrs old	66%	33%
45-59 yrs old	70%	29%
60+ yrs old	71%	28%
Party		
Democrat	27%	72%
Republican	90%	10%
Non-Partisan	64%	36%
Undeclared	66%	33%
Union		
Public employee	56%	43%
Other union	81%	17%
Non-union	69%	29%

Gender	Support	Oppose
Male 🥦	- 80%	20%
Female	55%	44%
Time in Alaska		
0-9 yrs	48%	(51%)
10-20 yrs	62%	38%
20+ yrs	▼ 71%	28%
Education		
High school/GED	71%	26%
Some college	74%	26%
College graduate	70%	29%
Graduate school	52%	46%
Household income	,	
<\$40K	59%	39%
\$40-60K	67%	31%
\$60-80K	62%	37%
\$80-100K	71%	29%
\$100K +	73%	26%



Alaska Arctic Offshore Exploration 5% total change & Production since 2017 Do you support or oppose exploration and production of oil and gas in Alaska's Arctic offshore? —Support Oppose 2018 100% 70% 69% Strongly support 43% 67% 75% 67% Somewhat support 24% 50% 32% 28% 29% 25% Somewhat oppose 11% 2% 2% 1% 0% Strongly oppose 2018 2016 2017 Unsure 1% Support Oppose Time in AK 0-9 yrs 38% 60% Oppose Location Support Gender Support Oppose 10-20 yrs 64% 36% Anchorage 67% 33% Male 78% 22% 30% 20+ yrs 69% Southcentral 76% 23% Female Follow local news... Interior 70% 27% Party Very closely 67% 31% 57% Southeast 40% Democrat 69% 30% Smwht closely 68% 30% Rural 58% Republican 87% 12% Not closely 67% 33% Non-Partisan 60% 38% Ideology Age Undeclared 31% 68% 91% Very conserv. 9% 18-34 yrs old 68% 30% Smwht conserv. 87% 12% 68% 35-44 yrs old 32% Union 41% Moderate 62% 37% 45-59 yrs old 69% 31% Public employee 58% Smwht liberal 33% 66% 60+ yrs old 67% 32% Other union 70% 29% 16% 29% Very liberal Non-union 70%



POITTMAN

Thank you to our sponsors!



































BRON7F

















PARTNER











HERRINGTON & COMPANY



Get in touch

Contact me

You can email me at cthayer@alaskachamber.com or call me directly at (907) 278-2739

Our address

471 West 36th Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, Alaska 99503

On the web



AlaskaChamber.com



Facebook



Twitter



YouTube